Misconception of Students on Multiple Representation of Chemical Equilibrium Shift

Bertalina Timanoyo, Effendy, Nazriati

Chemistry Education-Universitas Negeri Malang
Jl. Semarang 5 Malang-65145, East Java, Indonesia. E-mail: bertalinatimanoyo@gmail.com

Abstract: This study aims to analyze students' misconceptions about shift in chemical equilibrium by using a two-tier multiple choices diagnostic test based on multiple representations. The design of this study is descriptive. The research subjects were second semester students of Chemistry Department A, B and I classes in Universitas Negeri Malang in 2018/2019 Academic Year totaling 60 students. The reliability of the diagnostic test is 0.86. The results showed that students' misconceptions of the factors affecting chemical equilibrium shifts identified 9 misconceptions with an average percentage of misconceptions below 30%. Misconceptions also often occur in students when associated with submicroscopic representation.

Key Words: misconceptions; chemical equilibrium shift; multiple representations

Abstrak: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis miskonsepsi mahasiswa tentang pergeseran kesetimbangan kimia dengan menggunakan tes diagnostik *two-tier multiple choice* berbasis multipel representasi. Rancangan penelitian ini adalah deskriptif. Subjek penelitian adalah mahasiswa semester 2 Jurusan Kimia kelas A, B dan I Universitas Negeri Malang Tahun Ajaran 2018/2019 yang berjumlah 60 mahasiswa. Reliabilitas tes diagnostik sebesar 0,86. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa miskonsepsi mahasiswa pada faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi pergeseran kesetimbangan kimia teridentifikasi 9 miskonsepsi dengan rata-rata persentase miskonsepsi dibawah 30%. Miskonsepsi juga sering terjadi pada mahasiswa apabila dikaitkan dengan representasi submikroskopik.

Kata kunci: miskonsepsi; pergeseran kesetimbangan kimia; multipel representasi

INTRODUCTION

hemical equilibrium is an important topic to study because it is necessary to comprehend other chemistry topics, including acids and bases, hydrolysis, buffers, solubility, and electrochemistry (Endur et al., 2010; Yamtanah et al., 2019). When studying chemical equilibrium, students must be familiar with a number of related concepts, including concentration, gases, moles, stoichiometry, and exothermic and endothermic reactions. In addition, the topic of chemical equilibrium necessitates knowledge of three chemical representations: macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic. These three chemical representations are also known as multiple representations, which are visual explanations for chemical occurrences (Head et al., 2017). Macroscopic representations are phenomena observable by human senses including sight, smell, and touch (Li & Arshad, 2014;

Lin et al., 2016; Nyachwaya & Wood, 2014). Atoms, molecules, and ions cannot be directly perceived by human senses; therefore, submicroscopic representations provide explanations at the particle level (Chandrasegaran et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2016). Symbolic representation uses chemical symbols, chemical formulas and equations, diagrams and graphs to explain chemical phenomena (Chandrasegara et al., 2007; Nyachwaya & Wood, 2014). If students are able to connect macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic representations, they will have a comprehensive understanding of chemistry (Li & Arshad, 2014). Students must therefore comprehend all three representations; if they cannot make the connection between them, they will struggle to comprehend the topic and may develop misconceptions.

Misconceptions are incorrect ideas, hypotheses, or beliefs that are not based on scientific knowledge (Luxford & Bretz, 2014). According to Mondal and

Chakraborty (2013), misconceptions are also known as prejudices and conceptual misunderstandings. Albalushi et al. (2012) discovered that there are various misunderstandings regarding chemical concepts, including chemical equilibrium material. The concept of equilibrium state, heterogeneous equilibrium, the equilibrium constant, and the application of Le Chatelier's principle are frequently misunderstood (Akkus et al., 2012; Demirciolu et al., 2013; Hackling & Garnett, 1985; Karpudewan et al., 2015; Kousathana & Tsaparlis, 2002; Ozmen, 2008; endur et al In some prior research, it was believed that if the temperature is increased, more products will be formed (Karpudewan et al., 2015; Ozmen, 2008), and that temperature changes do not impact the direction of the equilibrium shift (Monita & Suharto, 2017; Ozmen, 2008).

Interviews, open-ended questions, multiple choice tests, multiple tier tests (two-tier, three-tier, and four-tier tests), and other assessments such as concept maps have been used to identify student misconceptions (Gurel et al., 2015). Several studies have employed multiple-tiered tests, as well as a two-tiered diagnostic instrument, to identify misconceptions. Karpudewan et al. (2015) and Ozmen (2008) have employed two-tier diagnostic tests to identify misconceptions about chemical equilibrium. The application of Le Chatelier's principle to factors affecting chemical equilibrium changes was one of the subtopics explored. The disadvantage of the two-tier diagnostic test used to analyze misconceptions in previous studies is that diagnostic tests typically only involve chemical representations in symbolic representations, thereby unbalancing the use of all three representations (macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic). In fact, knowing the chemistry of submicroscopic representations is crucial for explaining chemical phenomena (Hoe & Subramaniam, 2016). In this study, it is anticipated that more comprehensive misconceptions concerning shifting equilibrium would be uncovered. The goal of this study is to examine students' misconceptions about chemical equilibrium shifts using a two-tier multiple-choice diagnostic exam based on different representations.

METHOD

This research employed a descriptive approach. This research approach intends to describe the outcomes of an analysis of student misunderstandings. The research participants were 60 second-semester students majoring in Chemistry classes A, B, and I at State University of Malang during the 2018-2019 academic year who had studied chemical equilibrium and one of the subtopics studied, namely factors that influence the shift of chemical equilibrium. The research instrument employed was a two-tier diagnostic exam based on multiple representations tested with five questions per concept in the same context. There were 15 questions on the diagnostic examination, which were separated into three subsections: the influence of temperature changes, the effect of pressure/volume changes, and the effect of concentration changes. Three chemistry professors from the State University of Malang have confirmed the diagnostic exam questions. The validity of the items was assessed using the SPSS Statistics 22 program via Bivariate Pearson correlation analysis, yielding a validity range of 0.345-0.675, and their reliability was calculated using the Cronbach Alpha method, yielding a coefficient value of 0.86. Determination of student misconceptions on the two-tier diagnostic test, based on student answer patterns that are classified into the study's criteria (Yamtinah et al., 2019) and analyzed through five questions in one idea. Table 1 lists the categories of student answer patterns. The assessed and described misunderstandings are held by at least 20 percent of the student population. If 20% or more of students answer two-tier diagnostic exam questions incorrectly, misconceptions are said to exist (Peterson et al., 1989).

Table 1. Criteria for Two-Tier Diagnostic Test Answer Patterns

Student	Comprehension Level Category	
First Tier	Second Tier	
Correct	Correct	Understand
Correct	Incorrect	Misconception
Incorrect	Correct	Misconception
Incorrect/No Answer	Incorrect/No Answer	Do not understand
	(Ventinel et al. 2010)	

(Yamtinah et al., 2019)

RESULTS

The results of the misconception analysis show that the average percentage of misconceptions that occur in the sub-topic of factors that affect the shifting of chemical equilibrium is below 30%. This is shown in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that the largest percentage of student misconceptions is on the concept of the effect of temperature changes and the concept of the effect of changes in concentration on equilibrium, which is 26.7%.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Temperature Change

Students have four misconceptions regarding the influence of temperature fluctuations on equilibrium shifts. The first mistake occurs when students do not comprehend that if the temperature is increased, the reaction system receives a specific quantity of energy. Obviously, the reaction that will take place will require

energy. The rise in temperature will therefore move the equilibrium toward an endothermic process. The investigation of Karpudewan et al. (2015) and Andriani also uncovered misconceptions around this (2016). This misunderstanding may be due to the fact that pupils do not pay close attention to the submicroscopic image and its description on the question, but rather rely on memorization.

The second misunderstanding comes when students fail to comprehend that if the temperature is dropped, energy is liberated from the reaction system. Naturally, all possible reactions are those that release energy. Consequently, a temperature decrease will move the equilibrium towards an exothermic process. In addition to not comprehending the submicroscopic image adequately, pupils may just memorize rules, principles, and concepts, which can lead to a misunderstanding of the opposite nature. According to Romine et al. (2016), memorization of rules, ideas, and concepts results in misunderstandings.

Students commit misconceptions three and four because they believe that a change in temperature in

Table 2. Misconceptions and Percentage of Students with Misconceptions

No	Misconception	Number of Students	Percentage of students	
	*	with Misconceptions	with misconceptions	
Factors Affecting the Shift				
	librium			
	ct of Temperature Change on			
Equilibrium				
1.	An increase in temperature shifts the equilibrium	13	21,7	
	toward an exothermic reaction	13	21,7	
2.	A decrease in temperature shifts the equilibrium	16	26,7	
	toward an endothermic reaction	10	20,7	
3.	An increase in temperature does not shift the			
	equilibrium so that the number of molecules of	12	20,0	
	reactants and products remains fixed			
4.	A decrease in temperature does not shift the			
	equilibrium so that the number of molecules of	12	20,0	
	reactants and products remains fixed			
Effect of Pressure/Volume Changes on				
Equilibrium				
5.	Increasing the load (increasing the pressure)			
	reduces the volume of the gas, thus shifting the	14	23,3	
	equilibrium to a larger number of molecules.			
6.	Reducing the load (pressure is reduced) increases			
	the volume of the gas, thus shifting the	15	25,0	
	equilibrium to a smaller number of molecules			
Effect of Concentration Change on				
Equilibrium				
7.	The addition of H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction			
	$2NO(g) + Cl2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2NOCl(g)$ will shift the	15	25,0	
	equilibrium towards the products			
8.	The addition of H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction			
	$PCl5(g) \rightleftharpoons PCl3(g) + Cl2(g)$ will shift the	16	26,7	
	equilibrium towards the reactants			
9.	The addition of H2 gas will increase the	15	25,0	
	concentration of Cl2 gas	13	25,0	

Effect of Pressure/Volume Change

There are two misconceptions that occur in the influence factor of pressure/volume changes on equilibrium shifts experienced by students. The first and second misconceptions occur because students do not understand Avogadro's law regarding the relationship between the volume-amount of gas, which states that at constant temperature and pressure, the volume of a gas is directly proportional to the number of moles of gas. Mathematically, the equation is given:

$$V = \left(\frac{RT}{p}\right) n$$
 , by $\left(\frac{RT}{p}\right)$ constant

Therefore, if in equilibrium there is a decrease in the volume of gas (volume is reduced), the equilibrium will shift to a smaller number of molecules. Conversely, if in equilibrium there is an increase in gas volume (volume is enlarged) then the equilibrium will shift to a larger number of molecules. Similar misconceptions were also found in the research of Andriani (2016), Susanti (2016), Monita & Suharto (2017), and Umam et al (2015). These two misconceptions may occur because students only memorize the concept of the relationship between pressure and volume without understanding its relation to the number of molecules. According to Romine et al (2016), students who understand concepts, rules and principles by rote will cause many misconceptions. In addition, students' ability to connect understanding between symbolic representation to submicroscopic representation is still low. If the ability regarding the relationship between the three levels of representation is low, it is likely to cause difficulties in understanding the concept, causing misconceptions (Gilbert, 2009; Gkitzia et al., 2011; Muchtar & Herizal, 2012).

Pengaruh Perubahan Konsentrasi

There are three misconceptions that occur in the factor of the effect of concentration changes on equilibrium shifts experienced by students. The first

misconception occurs because students do not understand that the addition of gas that reacts with reactants or products in equilibrium will change the concentration of reactants or products. This will cause a shift in equilibrium towards the direction that will cause an increase in the concentration of the substance. Therefore, when adding H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction: $2NO(g) + Cl2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2NOCl(g)$, then a reaction occurs between H2 gas and Cl2 gas with the reaction $H2(g) + Cl2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2HCl(g)$ causing the concentration of Cl2 gas to decrease. The reduction in Cl2 gas concentration causes a change in the Kc price. The equilibrium constant price for the NOCl formation reaction is:

$$Kc = \frac{[NOCl]^2}{[NO]^2[Cl_2]}$$

The value of the equilibrium constant will be fixed if the reaction takes place at a fixed temperature. so, when the concentration of Cl2 decreases it will cause a shift in equilibrium to the left so that the price of the equilibrium constant remains.

The second misconception also occurs the same as the first misconception, namely students do not understand that the addition of gas that reacts with reactants or products in equilibrium will change the concentration of reactants or products. This will cause a shift in equilibrium towards the direction that will cause an increase in the concentration of the substance. Therefore, upon the addition of H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction: $PCI5(g) \rightleftharpoons PCI3(g) + CI2(g)$, then a reaction occurs between H2 gas and CI2 gas with the reaction: $H2(g) + CI2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2HCI(g)$, causing the concentration of CI2 gas to decrease. The reduction in CI2 gas concentration causes a change in the Kc price. The equilibrium constant price for the PCI5 dissociation reaction is:

$$Kc = \frac{[PCl_{\mathtt{S}}][Cl_{\mathtt{S}}]}{[PCl_{\mathtt{S}}]}$$

The value of the equilibrium constant will be fixed if the reaction takes place at a fixed temperature. so, when the concentration of Cl2 decreases it will cause a shift in equilibrium to the right so that the price of the equilibrium constant remains.

The third misconception also occurs because students do not understand that the addition of H2 gas, for example in the reaction 2NO (g) + CI2 (g) \rightleftharpoons 2NOCI (g) will cause a change in gas concentration at equilibrium. When the added H2 gas in equilibrium reacts with CI2, the reaction will occur: H2(g) + CI2(g) \rightleftharpoons 2HCI(g), this will cause the concentration of CI2 gas to decrease so that it will shift the existing equilibrium.

The three misconceptions above may occur because students only memorize Le Chatelier's principle, without understanding it properly, because based on the analysis of the problems given it can be seen that students only memorize that if there is an addition of a substance (H2) then reacts with a substance that is in equilibrium (CI2) then the equilibrium will shift in the opposite direction so if the addition of H2 that reacts with CI2 is in the reactant position then the equilibrium will shift towards the product. Conversely, if the addition of H2 that reacts with CI2 is in the product position, the equilibrium will shift towards the reactants.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that the misconceptions of students identified in the factors that affect the shift in chemical equilibrium are (1) an increase in temperature shifts the equilibrium towards an exothermic reaction, (2) a decrease in temperature shifts the equilibrium towards an endothermic reaction, (3) an increase in temperature does not shift the equilibrium so that the number of reactant and product molecules remains, (4) a decrease in temperature does not shift the equilibrium so that the number of reactant and product molecules remains, (5) the addition of load (pressure is enlarged) reduces the volume of gas, thus shifting the equilibrium to a greater number of molecules, (6) the reduction of the load (pressure is reduced) increases the volume of gas, thus shifting the equilibrium to a smaller number of molecules, (7) the addition of H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction 2NO (q) + Cl2 (q) \rightleftharpoons 2NOCI (q) will shift the equilibrium towards the products, (8) the addition of H2 gas in the equilibrium reaction PCI5 (g) \rightleftharpoons PCI3 (g) + CI2 (g) will shift the equilibrium towards the reactants, (9) the addition of H2 gas will increase the concentration of Cl2 gas. The misconceptions experienced by students and college students are likely due to students and college students memorizing more concepts about the application of Le Chatelier's principle and not understanding multiple representations, especially submicroscopic representations in the problem.

This study only examines the analysis of student misconceptions about the factors that affect the shift in equilibrium including the effect of changes in temperature, pressure/volume, and concentration. Therefore, it needs to be developed in other chemical equilibrium sub-topics such as the equilibrium state,

the chemical equilibrium constant and so on. In addition, research needs to be done to reduce students' misconceptions about the factors that affect equilibrium shifts. This research shows that students often experience misconceptions in problems involving submicroscopic representations, so that in learning lecturers can add applications or animations that can show the relationship between the three levels of representation so that it can improve students' abilities at the submicroscopic level.

REFERENCES

- Akkus, H., Kadayifci, H., & Atasoy, B. (2011). Development and Application of A Two-Tier Diagnostic Test to Assess Secondary Students' Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium Concepts. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 10(3), 146-155.
- Al-balushi, S. M., Ambusaidi, A. K., Al-shuaili, A. H., & Taylor, N. (2012). Omani Twelfth Grade Students Most Common Misconceptions in Chemistry. Science Education International, 23(3), 221–240.
- Andriani, A. (2016). Analisis Kesalahan Konsep Kesetimbangan Kimia Siswa SMA Kelas XI dalam Pembelajaran Bilingual serta Upaya Eliminasinya Menggunakan Strategi Konflik Kognitif. Tesis tidak diterbitkan. Malang: Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2007).

 The Development of Two-Tier Multiple-Chois Instrument for Evaluating Secondary School Students' Ability to Describe and Explain Chemical Reaction Using Multivel Levels of Refresentation. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(3), 293–307.
- Demircioðlu, G., Demircioðlu, H., & Yadigaroðlu, M. (2013).

 An Investigation of Chemistry Student Teachers'
 Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium. *International Journal on New Trends in Education & Their Implications (IJONTE)*, 4(2), 185. http://proxy.kennesaw.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=90519462&site=eds-live&scope=site
- Gilbert, J. K. Treagust, D., (2009). *Multiple Representations in Chemiccal Education: Models and Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Gkitzia, V., Salta, K., & Tzougraki, C. (2011). Development and application of suitable criteria for the evaluation of chemical representations in school textbooks. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 12*(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1rp90003j
- Gurel, D. K., Eryilmaz, A., & McDermott, L. C. (2015). A Review and Comparison of Diagnostic Instruments

- to Identify Students' Misconceptions in Science. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 11(5), 989-1008. https://doi.org/ 10.12973/eurasia.2015.1369a
- Hackling, M. W., & Garnett, P. J. (1985). Misconceptions of Chemical Equilibrium. European Journal of Science, 7(2), 205–214. ÿþhttp://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 0140528850070211
- Head, M. L., Yoder, K., Genton, E., & Sumperl, J. (2017). A Quantitative Method to Determine Preservice Chemistry Teachers' Perceptions of Chemical Representations. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18(4), 825-840. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7rp00109f
- Hoe, K. Y., & Subramaniam, R. (2016). on The Prevalence of Alternative Conceptions on Acid-Base Chemistry among Secondary Students: Insights from Cognitive and Confidence Measures. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 17(2), 263–282. https://doi.org/ 10.1039/c5rp00146c
- Karpudewan, M., Treagust, D. F., Mocerino, M., Won, M., & Chandrasegaran, A. L. (2015). Investigating High School Students' Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium Concepts. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 10(6), 845-863. https:// doi.org/10.12973/ijese.2015.280a
- Kousathana, M., & Tsaparlis, G. (2002). Students' Errors in Solving Numerical Chemical-Equilibrium Problems. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 3(1), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1039/b0rp90030c
- Li, W. S. S., & Arshad, M. Y. (2014). Application of Multiple Representation Levels in Redox Reactions Among Tenth Grade Chemistry Teachers. Journal of Turkish Science Education, 11(3), 35–52. https://doi.org/ 10.12973/tused.10117a
- Lin, Y. I., Son, J. Y., & Rudd, J. A. (2016). Asymmetric Translation Between Multiple Representations in Chemistry. International Journal of Science Education, 38(4), 644-662. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09500693.2016.1144945
- Luxford, C. J., & Bretz, S. L. (2014). Development of The Bonding Representations Inventory to Identify Student Misconceptions about Covalent and Ionic Bonding Representations. Journal of Chemical Education, 91(3), 312–320. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed400700q
- Monita, A. F., & Suharto, B. (2017). Identifikasi dan Analisis Miskonsepsi Siswa Menggunakan Three-Tier Multiple Choice Diagnostic Instrument Pada Konsep Kesetimbangan Kimia. Quantum: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Sains, 7(1), 27–38.
- Mondal, B. C., & Chakraborty, A. 2013. Misconceptions in Chemistry: It's Identification and Remedial Measures. Germany: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.

- Muchtar & Herizal. (2012). Analyzing of Students Misconceptions on Acid-Base Chemsitry At Senior High Schools in Medan. Journal of Education and Practice, *3*(15), 65–74.
- Nyachwaya, J. M., & Wood, N. B. (2014). Evaluation of Chemical Representations in Physical Chemistry Textbooks. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 15(4), 720-728. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4rp00113c
- Ozmen, H. (2007). The Effectiveness of Conceptual Change Texts in Remediating High School Students' Alternative Conceptions Concerning Chemical Equilibrium. Asia Pacific Education Review, 8(3), 413-425. http:/ /search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct =true&db=eric&AN=EJ811075&site=ehostlive%0Ahttp://eri.snu.ac.kr/aper/ 06 Table for contents.htm
- Özmen, H. (2008). Determination of Students' Alternative Conceptions about Chemical Equilibrium: A Review of Research and The Case of Turkey. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 9(3), 225-233. https:// doi.org/10.1039/b812411f
- Peterson, R. F., Treagust, D. F., & Garnett, P. (1989). Development and Application of A Diagnostic Instrument to Evaluate Grade 11 and 12 Students' Concepts of Covalent Bonding and Structure Following A Course of Instruction. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 26(4), 301–314. https://doi.org/10.1002/ tea.3660260404
- Romine, W. L., Todd, A. N., & Clark, T. B. (2016). How Do Undergraduate Students Conceptualize Acid-Base Chemistry? Measurement of a Concept Progression. Science Education, 100(6), 1150-1183. https:// doi.org/10.1002/sce.21240
- ^aendur, G., Toprak, M., & Pekmez, E. S. (2010). Analyzing of Student's Misconceptions About Chemical Equilibrium. International Conference on New Trends in Education and Their Implications, 1-6.
- Susanti, Y.I. (2010). Analisis Kesalahan KOnsep Pokok Bahasan Kesetimbangan Kimia pada Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Malang dan Perbaikannya dengan Strategi Konflik Kognitif. Tesis tidak diterbitkan. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang
- Tyson, L., Treagust, D. F., & Bucat, R. B. (1999). The Complexity of Teaching and Learning Chemical Equilibrium. Journal of Chemical Education, 76(2-4), 554-
- Umam, Y., Iskandar, S., & Budiasih, E. (2015). Analisis Dampak Kesalahan Konsep Laju Reaksi Terhadap Kesalahan Konsep Kesetimbangan pada Siswa SMA. Jurnal Pendidikan Sains, 3(2), 68–73. https://doi.org/ 10.17977/jps.v3i2.7454

Voska, K. W., & Heikkinen, H. W. (2000). Identification and Analysis of Student Conceptions Used to Solve Chemical Equilibrium Problems. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *37*(2), 160–176. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2736(200002)37:2<160::AIDTEA5>3.0.CO;2-M

Yamtinah, S., Indriyanti, N. Y., Saputro, S., Mulyani, S., Ulfa, M., Mahardiani, L., Satriana, T., & Shidiq, A. S. (2019). The Identification and Analysis of Students' Misconception in Chemical Equilibrium Using Computerized Two-Tier Multiple-Choice Instrument. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(4), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/4/042015